The American Journal of Sports Medicine

http://ajs.sagepub.com/

Low-Energy Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy as a Treatment for Medial Tibial Stress Syndrome

Jan D. Rompe, Angelo Cacchio, John P. Furia and Nicola Maffulli

Am J Sports Med 2010 38: 125 originally published online September 23, 2009

DOI: 10.1177/0363546509343804

The online version of this article can be found at: http://ajs.sagepub.com/content/38/1/125

Published by: \$SAGE

http://www.sagepublications.com

On behalf of:



American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine

Additional services and information for The American Journal of Sports Medicine can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://ajs.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://ajs.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

Low-Energy Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy as a Treatment for Medial Tibial Stress Syndrome

Jan D. Rompe,*[†] MD, Angelo Cacchio,[‡] MD, John P. Furia,[§] MD, and Nicola Maffulli,^{||} MD, MS, PhD, FRCS(Orth), FFSEM(UK)

From [†]OrthoTrauma Evaluation Center, Mainz, Germany, the [‡]Department of Medicine and Physical Rehabilitation, San Salvatore Hospital of L'Aquila, Italy, [§]SUN Orthopaedics, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, and the ^{||}Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Barts, and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, England

Background: Medial tibial stress syndrome (MTSS) is a pain syndrome along the tibial origin of the tibialis posterior or soleus muscle. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (SWT) is effective in numerous types of insertional pain syndromes.

Hypothesis: Shock wave therapy is an effective treatment for chronic MTSS.

Study Design: Cohort study; Level of evidence, 3.

Methods: Forty-seven consecutive subjects with chronic recalcitrant MTSS underwent a standardized home training program, and received repetitive low-energy radial SWT (2000 shocks; 2.5 bars of pressure, which is equal to 0.1 mJ/mm²; total energy flux density, 200 mJ/mm²; no local anesthesia) (treatment group). Forty-seven subjects with chronic recalcitrant MTSS were not treated with SWT, but underwent a standardized home training program only (control group). Evaluation was by change in numeric rating scale. Degree of recovery was measured on a 6-point Likert scale (subjects with a rating of completely recovered or much improved were rated as treatment success).

Results: One month, 4 months, and 15 months from baseline, success rates for the control and treatment groups according to the Likert scale were 13% and 30% (P < .001), 30% and 64% (P < .001), and 37% and 76% (P < .001), respectively. One month, 4 months, and 15 months from baseline, the mean numeric rating scale for the control and treatment groups were 7.3 and 5.8 (P < .001), 6.9 and 3.8 (P < .001), and 5.3 and 2.7 (P < .001), respectively. At 15 months from baseline, 40 of the 47 subjects in the treatment group had been able to return to their preferred sport at their preinjury level, as had 22 of the 47 control subjects.

Conclusion: Radial SWT as applied was an effective treatment for MTSS.

Keywords: tendon injuries; medial tibial stress syndrome; shin splints; shock wave therapy

Shin splints—referring to pain and discomfort in the leg from repetitive running on hard surfaces or forcible excessive use of foot flexors—accounts for 6% to 16% of all running injuries and is responsible for as much as 50% of all lower leg injuries reported in select populations. Alternative terms to shin splints have been proposed over the years. Mubarak et al popularized the

term $medial\ tibial\ stress\ syndrome\ (MTSS),\ a\ condition\ that\ leads\ to\ pain\ in\ the\ posteromedial\ aspect\ of\ the\ distal\ two\ thirds\ of\ the\ tibia.$

A diagnosis of MTSS specifically excludes exertional compartment syndrome and tibial stress fracture. It offers the most accurate description of the involved anatomy and presumed pathophysiology of this most common form of tibial stress injury. The hallmark of the physical examination in MTSS is palpable tenderness over a 4- to 6-cm area at the posteromedial margin of the middle to distal third of the tibia. All, 26,35,41,68 Range of motion of the ankle and foot should not elicit pain. Passive stretch of the soleus, heel rises, and unilateral hopping may reproduce symptoms. Vascular and neurologic examinations produce normal results in subjects with MTSS.

The American Journal of Sports Medicine, Vol. 38, No. 1 DOI: 10.1177/0363546509343804

© 2010 The Author(s)

^{*}Address correspondence to Jan D. Rompe, MD, OrthoTrauma Evaluation Center, Oppenheimer Street 70, D-55130 Mainz, Germany (e-mail: profrompe@web.de).

No potential conflict of interest declared.

¹References 10, 11, 29, 30, 34, 35, 45, 60, 61, 69.

Nonsurgical treatment for shin splints includes activity modification (relative rest). Other recommendations include icing, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, stretching and strengthening, and attention to biomechanical factors such as overpronation. ^{1,4,9,27,35,36,44} Evidence for any of those is low. ⁶²

Very few people need surgery for shin splints. Surgery has been done in very severe cases of shin splints that do not respond to nonsurgical treatment. It is not clear how effective surgery is, however. Shock wave therapy (SWT) has been used successfully since the late 1980s for the management of various musculoskeletal disorders including plantar fasciopathy, Achilles tendinopathy, shoulder calcific tendinitis, lateral epicondylitis, and greater trochanter pain syndrome.

Acknowledging the unpredictable response and frequent recurrences associated with traditional nonoperative treatment, the risks and prolonged rehabilitation associated with surgery, the recognition of an insertional malfunction as a potential source of pain, and the favorable results from prior studies involving SWT as a treatment for other forms of insertional enthesopathies, the aim of this study was to determine whether lowenergy SWT is a safe and effective management modality for chronic MTSS.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Before the study, the injury definition was decided and used throughout the study. Medial tibial stress syndrome was defined as (1) pain located on the medial border of the tibia during running or marching, (2) insidious onset of pain unrelated to any traumatic event, and (3) pain on palpation of the medial tibial border not localized to one spot. This was a pragmatic study conducted in a secondary-care setting. Consecutive subjects referred to the outpatient clinic for persisting MTSS were evaluated on the basis of a history and a physical examination, and checked for the study inclusion and exclusion criteria.

The inclusion criteria included subjects with an established diagnosis of unilateral chronic MTSS for at least 6 months before treatment who had failed at least 3 forms of traditional nonoperative measures for a minimum of 3 months. Traditional nonoperative therapies consisted of relative rest, muscle stretching and strengthening, anti-inflammatory medications, ice, a corticosteroid and/or local anesthetic injection, insoles, and orthoses.

The location of the pain was marked on a knee/shin diagram by all subjects, and the onset of pain was reported. All subjects had anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the affected tibia including the knee and the ankle to rule out osteomyelitis, tumor, osteoarthritis, or fracture. In subjects for whom the diagnosis was ambiguous (17 of 47 subjects in the treatment group; 24 of 47 subjects in the control group), 3-phase bone scintigraphy and/or MRI was performed.

All subjects were examined to rule out exertional compartment syndrome (bilateral MTSS; complaint of cramping, burning, or pain over the involved compartment with exercise; comparison of physical and neurologic examination before and immediately after exercise when deemed necessary). Further exclusion criteria were rheumatoid arthritis, generalized polyarthritis, local infection, pregnancy, subjects with bleeding disorders, subjects with tumors, subjects younger than 18 years, subjects with end stage ipsilateral knee and ankle osteoarthritis (defined as severe joint-space narrowing, joint sclerosis, and periacetabular osteophytes), and subjects with prior knee or ankle surgery.

A total of 127 consecutive subjects with MTSS who presented to the investigator (J.D.R.) had experienced symptoms for at least 6 months and had tried at least 3 different forms of nonsurgical management without success. Therefore, they represented the minority of subjects with MTSS in whom a traditional nonsurgical treatment had failed. Those 127 subjects were the basis for the current study. As part of the initial evaluation, all subjects were given a thorough explanation of the various options, including financial burdens, as well as the potential risks, benefits, and outcomes associated with the various options.

A standardized home training program⁵⁵ in combination with radial SWT was recommended, along with relative rest, to all 127 subjects. All subjects were informed in writing that they would have to expect no immediate effect from SWT, what side effects could occur with SWT (pain, bruising), what success rates had been reported with SWT in the management of other tendinopathies (50%-70%), and that they would have to pay for SWT on their own (total amount of \$200).

After making an informed decision, 49 of 127 subjects (39%) chose to treat their condition with a home training program in combination with radial SWT. The remaining 78 subjects (61%) declined treatment with radial SWT. Those subjects were then treated with the home training program alone. All subjects gave informed consent. The details of the procedure and potential risks were discussed fully before treatment.

Treatment Group

Forty-nine subjects were treated consecutively. One subject underwent concomitant treatment of Achilles tendinopathy with low-energy SWT, and was excluded. There were insufficient follow-up data on 1 subject. Thus, 47 subjects with unilateral MTSS were available for analysis. These 47 subjects represent the treatment group. The subjects in the treatment group were managed with the following measures: relative rest, ice, and instructions to carry out rehabilitation exercises twice a day for 12 weeks. Shock wave treatment was performed at weeks 2, 3, and 4 after start of the 12-week home training program.

There were 28 women and 19 men in the treatment group, with a mean age of 41 years (range, 18-56 years). The average duration of the condition was 15 months (range, 8-24 months) (Table 1).

^{*}References 17-20, 24, 25, 37, 43, 47-54, 57, 63, 66.

TABLE 1 Mean Age and Mean Duration of Symptoms^a

Group	Age (years)	Symptoms (months)	
Treatment Control	41.4 (range, 18–56) 42.6 (range, 18–54)	15.4 (range, 8–24) 13.7 (range, 6–30)	
	P = NS	P = NS	

^aNS, not significant.

Control Group

Seventy-eight subjects were treated consecutively. For comparison with the treatment group, 47 of those subjects (60%) who had made their decision in favor of the home training program alone were selected as the best match of age and gender of the subjects in the treatment group.

To rule out intentional bias, the retrospective selection process was made by a medical assistant according to a table including only sex and age of these 78 subjects, as well as a table including only sex and age of the 47 subjects in the treatment group. The medical assistant who made the decision for which subjects to include in the control group was blinded to the clinical outcome of the individual subjects.

Thirty-one of the 78 subjects (40%) were then excluded from the scientific evaluation. The other 47 subjects constituted the control group. There were 26 women and 21 men in the control group, with a mean age of 43 years (range, 18-54 years). The average duration of the condition was 14 months (range, 8-30 months) (Table 1). There was no significant difference in mean age or duration of symptoms between the treatment and control groups (Table 1). The subjects in the control group were managed with the following measures: relative rest, ice, and instructions to carry out rehabilitation exercises⁵⁵ twice a day for 12 weeks.

Occupation and Sporting Activities

All subjects were running athletes (Table 2). All athletes had the time and inclination to pursue an intensive training regimen and consequently were at risk for overuse injuries or exercise-related medical conditions. Ten of the SWT subjects and 8 of the control subjects worked as laborers (ie, heavy factory workers or manual laborers, or in occupations that required extensive physical activity, such as nursing and restaurant service).

Home Training Program

The home training program⁵⁵ consisted of progressive slow repetitive exercises (calf stretching, Thera-Band [L. Artzt GmbH, Dornburg, Germany] stretching, heel raises, toe raises) with the following instructions.

Calf Stretch With Towel. Sitting on a firm surface with the injured leg straight in front of you, take a towel and

TABLE 2 Main Sports Activity at the Time of Onset of the Medial Tibial Stress Syndrome of Shock Wave Therapy Group and Control Group

Activity	$ \begin{array}{c} Treatment \ Group \\ (n = 47) \end{array} $	Control Group (n = 47)	
Running/road	16	13	
Running/grass	5	7	
Running/treadmill	2	3	
Soccer/grass	11	9	
Athletics/track	10	12	
Occupation ^a	3	3	

^aThese 3 subjects attributed the symptoms to their occupation rather than to a sports activity.

loop it around the ball of your foot. Pull the towel toward you. Hold this position for 30 seconds. Relax. Repeat 3 times. When you do not feel much of a stretch anymore using the towel, start stretching the calf in the standing position described later.

Standing Calf Stretch. Facing a wall, place both hands at about eve level on the wall. Keep your injured leg back about 12 to 18 inches behind your uninjured leg. Keep your injured leg straight and your heel on the floor. Next, do a slight lunge by bending the knee of the forward leg. Lean into the wall until you feel a stretch in your calf muscle. Hold this for 30 to 60 seconds. Repeat 3 times.

Active Range of Motion of the Ankle. Sitting or lying down with your legs straight and your knee toward the ceiling, move your ankle up and down, in and out, and in circles. Do not bend your knee while doing this. Repeat 20 times in each direction. Push hard in all directions.

Anterior Compartment Stretch. Stand with 1 hand against a wall or chair for balance. Bend your knee and grasp the front of the foot of your injured leg. Bend the front of the foot toward the heel. You should feel a stretch in the front of your shin. Hold for 10 seconds. Repeat 10 times

Thera-Band Strengthening Exercises for the Lower Leg: Resisted Dorsiflexion Flexion. Sit in front of a doorway with your legs outstretched. Anchor the Thera-Band in a door by tying knots in the ends and closing the knots in the door. Next, loop the Thera-Band around the forefoot of your injured leg. Pull your foot toward your body with the Thera-Band supplying resistance. Return slowly to the starting position. Repeat 10 times. Do 3 sets of 10.

Thera-Band Strengthening Exercises for the Lower Leg: Resisted Plantar Flexion. Sitting with your legs outstretched, put the tubing around the foot of your injured leg and hold the ends of the tubing in your hands. Gently press your foot down, stretching the Thera-Band. Return to the starting position. Repeat 10 times. Do 3 sets of 10.

Thera-Band Strengthening Exercises for the Lower Leg: Resisted Inversion. Sit on the floor with your uninjured leg crossed over your injured ankle. Hold one end of the Thera-Band in your hand and tie the other end in a loop. Place the loop around the forefoot of the injured leg and have the band wrapped around the uninjured foot to provide an anchor. Move your injured foot inward with the Thera-Band providing resistance. Return your foot to the starting position. Repeat 10 times. Do 3 sets of 10.

Thera-Band Strengthening Exercises for the Lower Leg: Resisted Eversion. Sitting on the floor with both legs straight, have the Thera-Band looped around both feet. Slowly turn the injured foot outward, keeping the uninjured foot still. Return to the starting position. Repeat 10 times. Do 3 sets of 10.

Heel Raises. Balance yourself while standing behind a chair or counter. Raise your body up onto your toes, then slowly lower it. Repeat 10 times. Do 2 sets of 10.

Toe Raises: Sitting. Sit on a firm surface with your feet flat on the floor. Keep your heel on the floor and raise your toes off the Floor. Repeat 10 times. Do 3 sets of 10. When the sitting exercise becomes easy, progress to the standing exercise, as described in the next paragraph.

Toe Raises: Standing. Standing with your feet flat on the ground, rock back to your heels and lift your toes off the floor. Hold this for 5 seconds. Repeat 10 times. Do 3 sets of 10.

All subjects in both the treatment and the control groups were given a practical demonstration of the exercises by trained physical therapists (6 instructional sessions, each 20 minutes long) and written instructions of home exercises.

Shock Wave Treatment

All treatments were performed by the senior author (J.D.R.), in the senior author's office, without local anesthesia. Shock wave treatment was performed at weeks 2, 3, and 4 after the start of the 12-week home training program. A radial shock wave device (Swiss DolorClast, Electro Medical Systems Nyon, Switzerland) was used in all instances. With this device, shock waves are produced after a projectile in a handpiece is accelerated by a pressurized air source and strikes a 15-mm diameter metal applicator. 20,24,48,52 The energy generated is transmitted to the skin as a shock wave through a standard, commercially available ultrasound gel. The waves are then dispersed radially from the application site into the surrounding tissues.

Each subject received 3 low-energy treatments in weekly intervals. At each session, 2000 shocks were applied with a pressure of 2.5 bars (equal to an energy flux density of approximately 0.1 mJ/mm²). The treatment frequency was 8 shocks per second. The total energy flux density of the treatment session was approximately 200 mJ/mm².

The procedure was performed with the subject lying supine. Ultrasound gel was applied liberally to the skin overlying the medial tibial border and adjacent area. The shock waves were delivered in a medial-to-lateral direction. Shock wave application was a dynamic process. Using the principle of clinical focusing, the area of maximal tenderness was treated in a circumferential pattern, starting at the point of maximal pain. The average size of the area of treatment was approximately 2 to 4 cm wide and 4 to 8 cm long.

On completion of the procedure, the treated leg was assessed for hematoma, bruising, and swelling. All concomitant interventions were discouraged for 4 months

after the last treatment. Subjects were allowed immediate weightbearing and unrestricted range of motion. No ambulatory aids were used. No immobilization or other cointervention was used.

Subjects in the treatment group were invited to see the physician at weeks 2, 3, and 4 to check compliance with the training program by interview, and to receive radial SWT. Subjects in the control group were invited to see the physician after 2, 3, and 4 weeks to check compliance with the training program by interview, and to provide the same number of physician-subject contacts as in the SWT group. No diary was used to measure compliance as to completion of the home training program in either group.

All co-interventions were discouraged until the 4-month follow-up examination. For all groups, pain medication was allowed when requested (paracetamol, 2000-4000 mg/day). All subjects could contact the physician during working hours if they had questions about the training program.

After 6 weeks from baseline, the subjects were told to slowly return to their previous level of sports/recreational activity. Activity was advanced as symptoms dissipated. Subjects who worked in a sedentary occupation were allowed to immediately return to their pretreatment work status. Stationary cycling was permitted immediately after treatment. Easy running was permitted 1 week after the last treatment, as tolerated. The time to return to competitive sports and heavy labor occupations was made on a case-by-case basis.

Assessment

No disease-specific questionnaires are available for MTSS. Therefore, generic outcome measures (pain severity and recovery) were chosen as primary outcome measures. Written outcome assessments were recorded by each subject on a standardized form at baseline, 1 month, 4 months, and 15 months from baseline prior to seeing the physician at each visit. A nurse who was unaware of the intervention collected the forms and entered the responses into a database.

The primary outcome measurement was degree of recovery at 4 months compared with baseline, measured on a 6-point Likert scale ("completely recovered" to "much worse"). Success rates were calculated by dichotomizing responses. Treatment for subjects who reported themselves completely recovered or much improved was counted as success, and treatment for subjects who reported themselves "somewhat improved," "same," "worse," or "much worse" was counted as failure.

Secondary outcome measurements were degree of recovery at 1 and at 15 months compared with baseline, measured on a 6-point Likert scale (completely recovered to much worse). Success rates were calculated by dichotomizing responses. Treatment for subjects who reported themselves completely recovered or much improved was counted as success, and treatment for subjects who reported themselves somewhat improved, same, worse, or much worse was counted as failure. Severity of pain during the past week was measured with a numeric rating scale (0 = nopain, 10 = very severe pain) at 1, 4 and at 15 months from baseline.

Score	One Month		Four Months		Fifteen Months	
	Treatment n (%)	Control n (%)	Treatment n (%)	Control n (%)	Treatment n (%)	Control n (%)
1 (completely recovered)	5 (11)	_	11 (24)	_	17 (36)	_
2 (much improved)	9 (19)	6 (13)	19 (40)	14 (30)	19 (40)	18 (37)
3 (somewhat improved)	17 (36)	8 (17)	14 (30)	29 (62)	4 (8)	19 (40)
4 (same)	16 (34)	33 (70)	3 (6)	4 (8)	7 (16)	10(23)
5 (worse)	_	_	_	_	_	_
6 (much worse)	_	_	_	_	_	_

TABLE 3 Summary of Likert Scores for Treatment and Control Groups^a

Current sports activities were also determined and were compared with presymptom levels of exercise. This involved recording the type or types of weightbearing sports that were played, the hours of exercise per week, and the exercise surface used.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Instat version 3.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, Inc, San Diego, California).³⁰

For the outcome measure "degree of recovery," sample size was based on the ability to detect a clinically relevant difference of 25% in success rate between groups on the Likert scale at 4 months from baseline. This sample size accounted for a 10% loss to follow-up, a type I error rate of 0.05, and a power of 0.8. Assuming a success rate of 30% in the traditional nonoperative treatment forms, and a success rate of 60% in the more successful group (low-energy SWT), the target sample size was calculated at 40 subjects per group.

Changes in numeric rating scale (NRS) ratings over time for every subject were calculated by subtracting the results at baseline from those at follow-up. Statistical analysis of the pain levels was performed with a 2-tailed t test. To test differences between the proportions of baseline characteristics, and of success and failures, Fisher's exact test was used. For all analyses, P < .05 (2-sided) was considered significant.

RESULTS

For all comparisons, the data met the assumptions for the statistical tests chosen. The mean age and duration of symptoms for the SWT and control groups are summarized in Table 1. There was no difference between mean age (P =.8) or mean duration of symptoms (P = .8) between the SWT and control groups.

Shock Wave Therapy versus Control Group

Likert Scale. The 1 month, 4 months, and 15 months from baseline Likert scores for the SWT and control groups are summarized in Table 3. Fisher's exact test revealed

that the percentage of subjects with Likert scale ratings of "1" (completely recovered) or "2" (much improved) (ie, successful results) 1 month, 4 months, and 15 months from baseline was statistically greater in the SWT group than in the control group (P < .001 for each time point).

In both the SWT group and control group, no subject reported a worsening of symptoms compared with before treatment.

Numeric Rating Scale. The mean pretreatment NRS score for the SWT group was 8.1 ± 3.4 . The mean pretreatment NRS score for the control group was 8.5 ± 3.1 . One month after treatment the mean NRS score for the treatment group decreased to 5.8 ± 0.9 . One month from baseline the mean NRS score for the control group was 7.3 \pm 2.9. The between-group difference was statistically significant (P < .001).

Four months from baseline the mean NRS score for the treatment group decreased further to 3.8 ± 1.1 . The corresponding NRS score for the control group was 6.9 ± 0.8 . The between-group difference was statistically significant (P < .001).

Fifteen months from baseline the mean NRS score for the treatment group decreased further to 2.7 ± 0.9 . The corresponding NRS score for the control group was 5.3 \pm 2.6. The between-group difference was statistically significant (P < .001).

The mean difference of change between baseline NRS score and 1-month (2.3 and 1.2), 4-month (4.3 and 1.6), and 15-month (5.4 and 3.2) NRS scores was also compared. For each time point, the magnitude of the change in NRS score was significantly greater for the treatment group than the control group (P < .001 for each time point).

Occupation and Sporting Activities

At 15 months from baseline, 40 of the 47 subjects in the treatment group had been able to return to their preferred sport. Twenty-two of the 47 control subjects had been able to return to their preferred sport. All SWT and control subjects who were able to return to their preferred sport did so at their preinjury level. Of the 7 SWT subjects who did not return to their desired sport, 5 were runners, 1 played soccer, and 1 was a track athlete. Of the 25 control subjects who did not return to their preferred sport, 13 were runners, 3 played soccer, 9 were track athletes.

^an = 47 subjects in each group.

Time to return to sport was variable and ranged from 6 weeks for some soccer players to 6 months for some runners. Nine of the 10 SWT subjects and 5 of the 8 control subjects who worked as laborers or in occupations that required extensive physical activity were able to return in full to their preinjury occupations.

Compliance

All subjects had been checked for and encouraged to maintain compliance with the training program by interview after 2 and 4 weeks from baseline. At 4 months from baseline, 36 of 47 subjects in the treatment group reported to have performed the home training daily for 12 weeks, compared with 43 of 47 subjects in the control group.

Co-interventions

All co-interventions during the 4-month follow-up period were discouraged, but prescription of pain medication if necessary was allowed. Taking naproxen or paracetamol was closely related to failure of treatment. Fourteen of 17 subjects who failed in the treatment group and 30 of 33 subjects who failed in the control group requested taking the named analgesic drugs, but no other subjects did so.

Complications

There were only 10 minor complications. Eight subjects had pain during the shock wave treatment. The pain resolved after completion of the procedure. Two subjects had transitory reddening of the skin that resolved without intervention. There were no other complications detected during the examinations after treatment.

DISCUSSION

The pathophysiology of MTSS remains unclear. There is disagreement among authors on the cause of MTSS. 5-8,12,46,58 Histologically, biopsy specimens reveal an inflammatory process with vasculitis that is consistent with periostitis. Although the soleus muscle may be the major contributing factor of MTSS, the flexor digitorum longus muscle and deep crural fascia also contribute to it, based on their sites of origin along the medial aspect of the tibia.⁶ Proposed risk factors associated with MTSS are increased foot pronation, increased muscular strength of the plantar flexors, increased varus tendency of the forefoot or hindfoot (or both), an abrupt increase in training intensity, inadequate calcium intake, hard or inclined (or both) running surfaces, inadequate shoes, and previous injury, although there is little objective evidence to support these opinions. Women are at least twice as likely to develop MTSS as men, particularly if they have a body mass index of less than 21 kg/m². 7,61,69 Studies have

demonstrated a relationship between smaller tibial crosssectional areas and tibial stress syndrome.^{5,64} Long bones with narrow diaphyseal widths will bend to a greater extent when loaded than those with wider diaphyses. This supports the tibial-bending theory of tibial stress injuries. This theory suggests that chronic repetitive loads that induce tibial bending cause bone stress around the site where maximum bending occurs. This corresponds to the most common location for MTSS. This theory also provides support for the pathophysiologic link between MTSS and tibial stress fracture.

The best way to diagnose MTSS remains unclear. Imaging procedures such as radiographs or MRI do not correlate well with clinical symptoms.⁶⁷ Medial tibial stress syndrome is to be differentiated from tibial stress fracture in particular. 15 Stress fractures are recognized as the continuum of changes from microfracture to frank fracture under excess stress. The differential diagnosis between these 2 diseases therefore is difficult in the early phase. Pain associated with tibial stress fractures typically is localized to the fracture site and is more proximal than that caused by MTSS. Palpation will elicit tenderness localized at the fracture site. However, the posteromedial aspect of the middle to distal third of the tibia should not be tender. 8,33,65 Radiographs are not useful in the early phase of stress fractures,8 although they are useful in the late phase because they show periosteal reaction, callus formation, or sclerotic fracture line. High-resolution CT reliably shows cortical osteopenia with few small resorption cavitations. 21-23 Coronal fat-suppressed MRI scans of subjects with stress fractures demonstrate an abnormally wide high signal in the localized bone marrow. In subjects with shin splints, the coronal fat-suppressed MRI scans show abnormally high linear signals along the medial posterior surface or along the medial bone marrow. 2,59

The best management for MTSS remains unclear. Almost all athletes with shin splints can be treated non-operatively with success. ^{27,35,36,44} The American College of Sports Medicine recommends at least 7 to 10 days of rest from painful activities to treat MTSS.4 Nonsurgical treatment for shin splints includes activity modification, icing, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, stretching, and attention to biomechanical factors that might be correctable with insoles, orthoses, 16,26,32,38 or antagonistic muscle strengthening, although there is little objective evidence to support these interventions. 62 In most subjects, the pain subsides after several weeks of rest. Then, a gradual increase of activity is emphasized to prevent recurrence. Low-level training may begin, with subjects advised to warm up and stretch thoroughly before exercise and to increase training slowly.

Few people need surgery for shin splints. Surgery has been done in very severe cases of shin splints that do not respond to nonsurgical treatment.³¹ However, the effectiveness of surgery remains unclear. In the most recent uncontrolled case series, surgery significantly reduced pain levels by an average of 72% on the visual analog pain scale for 46 subjects who had failed nonsurgical therapy for at least 12 months. However, only 41% returned to their presymptom level of sports activity.⁶⁸

^{**}References 5, 7, 13, 35, 40, 44, 46, 69, 70.

There is no published article in a peer-reviewed journal on the use of extracorporeal SWT for MTSS. We were only able to identify 1 uncontrolled pilot study. ^{39,56} Seventeen subjects with recalcitrant MTSS for 14 months received 5 applications of 2000 shocks of 2.5 bar (~0.1 mJ/mm²) with a radial shock wave device. Within 12 weeks, exercise-related pain went down from 7.8 to 1.8 points on the NRS. Mean pain-free running time increased from 11 to 63 minutes.

The present study evaluated the effects of SWT on a consecutive series of subjects with MTSS who had not responded to at least 3 nonoperative forms of management. The outcome for the entire population was evaluated and compared with a well-matched control group. The mean NRS of the treatment group were statistically improved at 1 month, 4 months, and 15 months from baseline compared with the control group. The percentages of ratings 1 (completely recovered) or 2 (much improved) 15 months from baseline for the treatment and control groups were 76% and 37%, respectively. Forty of the 47 subjects in the treatment group and 22 of the 47 control subjects were able to return to their preferred sport. There were no significant complications, and no subject required additional SWT.

All radial SWT procedures were performed in the office without anesthesia. Prior studies involving subjects with chronic plantar fasciitis, Achilles tendinopathy, and lateral epicondylitis have demonstrated that local anesthesia application in the area of shock wave delivery compromises the positive treatment effects of SWT. Local anesthesia might interfere with clinical focusing of the shock waves or, more likely, alter the neurogenic inflammatory response ¹⁴ and antinociceptive effects associated with SWT.

This study is a retrospective cohort study and, as such, has some inherent limitations that require consideration. There was no randomization and there was no placebo arm to the investigation. The length of follow-up was only 15 months from baseline. However, a positive treatment effect was already evident at this time. Finally, bone scintigraphy or MRI scans were not performed for each subject. However, the symptoms used to define MTSS are generally accepted and considered to be appropriate diagnostic descriptors of this condition. 15,67

Acknowledging these weaknesses, this series contributes valuable information. The results from this study add to the growing number of favorable reports that substantiate the efficacy of radial SWT as an effective treatment for chronic insertional pain syndromes.

CONCLUSION

Traditional treatment of MTSS is generally lengthy, associated with frequent recurrences, and in some cases, an unacceptable degree of improvement. This study demonstrates that low-energy radial SWT is safe and effective, that it can be used to treat subjects with chronic MTSS, and that satisfactory improvement is maintained for at least 1 year. Further prospective studies are needed to confirm this finding.

REFERENCES

Andrish JT, Bergfeld JA, Walheim J. A prospective study on the management of shin splints. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1974;56:1697-1700.

- Aoki Y, Yasuda K, Tohyama H, Ito H, Minami A. Magnetic resonance imaging in stress fractures and shin splints. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004;421:260-267.
- Batt ME, Ugalde V, Anderson MW, Shelton DK. A prospective controlled study of diagnostic imaging for acute shin splints. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1998;30:1564-1571.
- 4. Beck BR. Exercise-induced leg pain. Current Comment. March 2002. American College of Sports Medicine. http://www.acsm.org/ AM/Template.cfm?Section=Search§ion=20026&template=/CM/ ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentFileID=299. Accessed December 2, 2008.
- Beck BR. Tibial stress injuries: an aetiological review for the purposes of guiding management. Sports Med. 1998;26:265-279.
- Beck BR, Osternig LR. Medial tibial stress syndrome: the location of muscles in the leg in relation to symptoms. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1994;76:1057-1061.
- Bennett JE, Reinking MF, Pluemer B, Pentel A, Seaton M, Killian C. Factors contributing to the development of medial tibial stress syndrome in high school runners. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2001;31: 504–510.
- 8. Brukner P. Exercise-related lower leg pain: bone. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2000;32(3 Suppl):S15-S26.
- Brushoj C, Larsen K, Albrecht-Beste E, Nielsen MB, Løye F, Hölmich P. Prevention of overuse injuries by a concurrent exercise program in subjects exposed to an increase in training load: a randomized controlled trial of 1020 army recruits. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36: 663-670.
- Carr K, Sevetson E, Aukerman D. Clinical inquiries: how can you help athletes prevent and treat shin splints? J Fam Pract. 2008;57: 406-408.
- Clanton TO, Solcher BW: Chronic leg pain in the athlete. Clin Sports Med. 1994;13:743-759.
- Couture DJ, Karlson KA. Tibial stress injuries: decisive diagnosis and treatment of "shin splints." *Physician Sportsmed*. 2002;30:29-37.
- Detmer DE. Chronic shin splints: classification and management of medial tibial stress syndrome. Sports Med. 1986;3:436-446.
- Dias MP, Newton DJ, McLeod GA, Khan F, Belch JJ. The inhibitory effects of local anaesthetics on the vascular flare responses to bradykinin and substance P in human skin. *Anaesthesia*. 2008;63: 151-155.
- Edwards PH Jr, Wright ML, Hartman JF. A practical approach for the differential diagnosis of chronic leg pain in the athlete. Am J Sports Med. 2005;33:1241-1249.
- Eickhoff CA, Hossain SA, Slawski DP. From the field: effects of prescribed foot orthoses on medial tibial stress syndrome in collegiate cross-country runners. Clin Kinesiol. 2000;54:76-80.
- Furia JP. High-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy as a treatment for chronic insertional Achilles tendinopathy. Am J Sports Med. 2006;34:733-740.
- Furia JP. High-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy as a treatment for chronic noninsertional Achilles tendinopathy. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36:502-508.
- Furia JP. Safety and efficacy of extracorporeal shock wave therapy for chronic lateral epicondylitis. Am J Orthop. 2005;34:13-19.
- Furia JP, Rompe JD, Maffulli N. Low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy as a treatment for greater trochanteric pain syndrome [published online ahead of print May 13, 2009]. Am J Sports Med. doi:10.1177/0363546509333014.
- Gaeta M, Minutoli F, Mazziotti S, et al. Diagnostic imaging in athletes with chronic lower leg pain. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008;191: 1412-1419.
- 22. Gaeta M, Minutoli F, Scribano E, et al. CT and MR imaging findings in athletes with early tibial stress injuries: comparison with bone scintigraphy findings and emphasis on cortical abnormalities. *Radiology*. 2005;235:553-561.
- Gaeta M, Minutoli F, Vinci S, et al. High-resolution CT grading of tibial stress reactions in distance runners. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2006;187:789-793.
- 24. Gerdesmeyer L, Frey C, Vester J, et al. Radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy is safe and effective in the treatment of chronic recalcitrant plantar fasciitis: results of a confirmatory randomized placebo-controlled multicenter study. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36:2100-2109.

- 25. Gerdesmeyer L, Wagenpfeil S, Haake M, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for the treatment of chronic calcifying tendonitis of the rotator cuff: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2003;290: 2573-2580.
- 26. Gross ML, Davlin LB, Evanski PM. Effectiveness of orthotic shoe inserts in the long-distance runner. Am J Sports Med. 1991;19: 409-412.
- 27. Hartgens F, Hoogeveen AR, Brink PR. Athletes with exercise-related pain at the medial side of the lower leg. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2008:152:1839-1843.
- 28. Holen KJ, Engebretsen L, Grontvedt T, Rossvoll I, Hammer S, Stoltz V. Surgical treatment of medial tibial stress syndrome (shin splint) by fasciotomy of the superficial posterior compartment of the leg. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1995;5:40-43.
- 29. Hootman JM, Macera CA, Ainsworth BE, Martin M, Addy CL, Blair SN. Predictors of lower extremity injury among recreationally active adults. Clin J Sport Med. 2002;12:99-106.
- 30. Hubbard TJ, Carpenter EM, Cordova ML. Contributing factors to medial tibial stress syndrome: a prospective investigation. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2009;41:490-496.
- 31. Järvinnen M, Aho H, Niittymäki S. Results of the surgical treatment of the medial tibial syndrome in athletes, Int J Sports Med. 1989:10:55-57.
- 32. Johnston E, Flynn T, Bean M, et al. A randomized controlled trial of a leg orthosis versus traditional treatment for soldiers with shin splints: a pilot study. Mil Med. 2006;171:40-44.
- 33. Jones DC, James SL. Overuse injuries of the lower extremity: shin splints, iliotibial band friction syndrome, and exertional compartment syndromes. Clin Sports Med. 1987;6:273-290.
- 34. Kaufman KR, Brodine S, Shaffer R. Military training-related injuries: surveillance, research, and prevention. Am J Prev Med. 2000;18:54-63.
- 35. Kortebein PM, Kaufman KR, Basford JR, Stuart MJ. Medial tibial stress syndrome. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2000;32(Suppl 3):27S-33S.
- 36. Krivickas LS. Anatomical factors associated with overuse sports injuries. Sports Med. 1997;24:132-146.
- 37. Kudo P, Dainty K, Clarefield M, Coughlin L, Lavoie P, Lebrun C. Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial evaluating the treatment of plantar fasciitis with an extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) treatment device: a North American confirmatory study. J Orthop Res. 2006;24:115-123.
- 38. Larsen K, Weidich F, Leboeuf-Yde C. Can custom-made biomechanic shoe orthoses prevent problems in the back and lower extremities? A randomized, controlled intervention trial of 146 military conscripts. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2002;25:326-331.
- 39. Lohrer H, Schöll J, Arentz S. Results of radial shockwave treatment of sports-induced diseases (achillodynia, patella tip syndrome and tibialis anterior syndrome). In: Gerdesmeyer L, Weil LS, eds. Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy. Towson, MD: Data Trace Publishing Company; 2007:161-176.
- 40. Messier SP, Pittala KA. Etiologic factors associated with selected running injuries. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1988:20:501-505.
- 41. Moore MP. Shin splints: diagnosis, management, prevention. Postgrad Med. 1988:83:199-210.
- 42. Mubarak SJ, Gould RN, Lee YF, Schmidt DA, Hargens AR: The medial tibial stress syndrome: a cause of shin splints. Am J Sports Med. 1982:10:201-205.
- 43. Ogden JA, Alvarez RG, Levitt RL, Johnson JE, Marlow ME. Electrohydraulic high-energy shock-wave treatment for chronic plantar fasciitis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2004;86:2216-2228.
- 44. Pell RF 4th, Khanuja HS, Cooley GR. Leg pain in the running athlete. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2004;12:396-404.
- 45. Plisky MS, Rauh MJ, Heiderscheit B, Underwood FB, Tank RT. Medial tibial stress syndrome in high school cross-country runners: incidence and risk factors. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2007;37:40-47.
- 46. Reinking MF, Hayes AM. Intrinsic factors associated with exerciserelated leg pain in collegiate cross-country runners. Clin J Sport Med. 2006;16:10-14.
- 47. Rompe JD, Decking J, Schoellner C, Theis C. Repetitive low-energy shock wave treatment for chronic lateral epicondylitis in tennis players. Am J Sports Med. 2004;32:734-743.

- 48. Rompe JD, Furia J, Maffulli N. Eccentric loading compared with shock wave treatment for chronic insertional achilles tendinopathy: a randomized, controlled trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:52-61.
- 49. Rompe JD, Furia J, Maffulli N. Eccentric loading versus eccentric loading plus shock-wave treatment for midportion Achilles tendinopathy: a Randomized Controlled Trial. Am J Sports Med. 2009;37:463-470.
- 50. Rompe JD, Furia JP, Weil L, Maffuli N. Shock wave therapy for chronic plantar fasciopathy. Br Med Bull. 2007;81-82:183-208.
- 51. Rompe JD, Meurer A, Nafe B, Hofmann A, Gerdesmeyer L. Repetitive low-energy shock wave application without local anesthesia is more efficient than repetitive low-energy shock wave application with local anesthesia in the treatment of chronic plantar fasciitis. J Orthop Res. 2005:23:931-941.
- 52. Rompe JD, Nafe B, Furia JP, Maffulli N. Eccentric loading, shockwave treatment, or a wait-and-see policy for tendinopathy of the main body of tendo Achillis: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Sports Med. 2007;35:374-383.
- 53. Rompe JD, Schoellner C, Nafe B. Evaluation of low-energy extracorporeal shock-wave treatment for treatment of chronic plantar fasciitis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2002;84:335-341.
- 54. Rompe JD, Segal NA, Cacchio A, Furia JP, Morral A, Maffulli N. Home training, local corticosteroid injection or radial shockwave therapy for greater trochanter pain syndrome [published online ahead of print May 13, 2009]. Am J Sports Med. doi: 10.1177/0363546509334374.
- 55. Rouzier P. The Sports Medicine Patient Advisor. Amherst, MA: SportsMed Press; 1999.
- 56. Schöll J, Lohrer H, Arentz S. Results of radial shockwave therapy for shin splints in runners. Annual Meeting of the Deutsche Gesellschaft für Orthopädie und Orthopädische Chirurgie (DGOOC), Berlin, 2002. http://www.thieme.de/abstracts/zfo/abstracts2002/daten/p123.html. Accessed December 2, 2008.
- 57. Sems A, Dimeff R, Ianotti JP. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy in the treatment of chronic tendinopathies. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2006;14:195-204.
- 58. Sommer HM, Vallentyne SW. Effect of foot posture on the incidence of medial tibial stress syndrome. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1995;27:800-804.
- 59. Spitz DJ, Newberg AH. Imaging of stress fractures in the athlete. Radiol Clin North Am. 2002;40:313-331.
- 60. Styf J. Diagnosis of exercise-induced pain in the anterior aspect of the lower leg. Am J Sports Med. 1998;16:165-169.
- 61. Taunton JE, Ryan MB, Clement DB, McKenzie DC, Lloyd-Smith DR, Zumbo BD. A prospective study of running injuries: the Vancouver Sun Run "In Training" clinics. Br J Sports Med. 2003;37:239-244.
- 62. Thacker SB, Gilchrist J, Stroup DF, Kimsey CD. The prevention of shin splints in sports: a systematic review of literature. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2002;34:32-40.
- 63. Theodore GH, Buch M, Amendola A, Bachman C, Fleming LL, Zingas C. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy for the treatment of plantar fasciitis. Foot Ankle Int. 2004;25:290-297.
- 64. Tommasini SM, Nasser P, Schaffler MB, Jepsen KJ. Relationship between bone morphology and bone quality in male tibias: implications for stress fracture risk. J Bone Miner Res. 2005;20:1372-1380.
- 65. Verma RB, Sherman O. Athletic stress fractures, part I: history, epidemiology, physiology, risk factors, radiography, diagnosis, and treatment. Am J Orthop. 2001;30:798-806.
- 66. Wang CJ, Yang KD, Wang FS, Chen HH, Wang JW. Shock wave therapy for calcific tendinitis of the shoulder. Am J Sports Med. 2003:31:425-430.
- 67. Wilder RP, Sethi S. Overuse injuries: tendinopathies, stress fractures, compartment syndrome, and shin splints. Clin Sports Med. 2004:23:55-81
- 68. Yates B, Allen MJ, Barnes MR. Outcome of surgical treatment of medial tibial stress syndrome. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85:1974-1980.
- 69. Yates B, White S. The incidence and risk factors in the development of medial tibial stress syndrome among naval recruits. Am J Sports Med. 2004;32:772-780.
- 70. Yeung EW, Yeung SS. Interventions for preventing lower limb soft-tissue injuries in runners. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001:3:CD001256.